

ORIGINAL

FILED

08 JUN 25 AM 11:20

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
Robert S. Niemann (SBN 087973)
rniemann@seyfarth.com
560 Mission Street, Suite 3100
San Francisco, California 94105
Telephone: (415) 397-2823
Facsimile: (415) 397-8549

GEORGE H. GERSTMAN
Appearing (Pro Hac Vice)
ggerstman@seyfarth.com
SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
131 S. Dearborn Street, Suite 2400
Chicago, Illinois 60603
Telephone: (312) 460-5000
Facsimile: (312) 460-7000

BRIAN L. MICHAELIS
Appearing (Pro Hac Vice)
bmichaelis@seyfarth.com
SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
Two Seaport Lane, Suite 300
Boston, Massachusetts 02210
Telephone: (617) 946-4800
Facsimile: (617) 946-4801

Attorneys for Defendant
NITEK International, LLC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NETWORK VIDEO TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,) Case No. C 08 2208 MHP

Plaintiff,

v.

NITEK INTERNATIONAL, LLC and DOES 1-10

Defendant.

PROOF OF SERVICE

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is Seyfarth Shaw LLP, 560 Mission Street, Suite 3100, San Francisco, California 94105. On June 9, 2008, I served the within documents:

Application For Admission Of Attorney *Pro Hac Vice* (Michaelis)
[Proposed] Order Granting Application for Admission of Attorney *Pro Hac Vice*

Application For Admission Of Attorney *Pro Hac Vice* (Gerstman)
[Proposed] Order Granting Application for Admission of Attorney *Pro Hac Vice*

☐ I sent such document from facsimile machine (415) 397-8549 on June 9, 2008. I certify that said transmission was completed and that all pages were received and that a report was generated by facsimile machine (415) 397-8549 which confirms said transmission and receipt. I, thereafter, mailed a copy to the interested party(ies) in this action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelope(s) addressed to the parties listed below.

☒ by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at San Francisco, California addressed as set forth below.

☐ by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.

☐ by placing the document(s) listed above, together with an unsigned copy of this declaration, in a sealed Federal Express envelope with postage paid on account and deposited with Federal Express at San Francisco, California, addressed as set forth below.

☐ by placing the document(s) listed above, together with an unsigned copy of this declaration, in a sealed Overnight Express envelope with postage paid on account and deposited with Overnight Express at San Francisco, California, addressed as set forth below.


Gary A. Hecker, Esq.
James M. Slominski, Esq.
The Hecker Law Group, PLC
1925 Century Park East, Ste 2300
Los Angeles, CA 90067

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Network Video Technologies, Inc.

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than on day after the date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court whose direction the service was made.

Executed on June 9, 2008, at San Francisco, California.


Kathy J. Truesdale